

PII: S0960-894X(96)00572-0

SYNTHESIS AND HEPATOPROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF SOYASAPOGENOL B DERIVATIVES

Kazue Sasaki, Nobuto Minowa*, Hiroyuki Kuzuhara, Shoji Nishiyama, and Shoji Omoto Pharmaceutical Research Center, Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd., 760 Morooka-cho, Kohoku-ku. Yokohama 222. Japan

Abstract: Derivatives of soyasapogenol B (1), which is the aglycon moiety of soyasaponins from soybean, were synthesized and evaluated for their hepatoprotective effects *in vitro*. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Liver is an important organ which is vital for metabolism and excretion. It is damaged acutely or chronically by virus, drugs and alcohol. Human hepatitis infection affects a worldwide health problem, which can be managed pharmacologically in only a few case. The current hepatotherapeutic drugs provide only low therapeutic efficacy and moreover have severe side effects. Therefore, search for effective and safe hepatoprotective drugs are needed. In the course of our screening for hepatoprotective agents, we have found that oleanene-type triterpene soyasapogenol B (1), which was isolated from soybean, shows hepatoprotective effect *in vitro* against aflatoxin B₁-induced Hep G2 cells. Synthetic study of soyasapogenol derivatives and also their biological activity have ever been little reported. Herein, we describe the synthesis of soyasapogenol B derivatives, transformed regioselectively at the 3, 22 and 24-hydroxyl groups, and comparison of their hepatoprotective effects *in vitro*.

Selective dehydroxylation of each of three hydroxyls of soyasapogenol B (1) as well as oxidation of 24-hydroxyl of 1 were carried out as shown in Scheme 1. Thus, monodeoxy derivatives 4, 8 and 14 were efficiently prepared from 2, 5^2 and 1, respectively. Tosylation of 2, which was derived from 1, followed by reduction with Super Hydride³ in THF at 65°C gave olefin 3 in 93% yield from 1. Removal of the isopropylidene moiety of 3 and subsequent selective reduction of the 21,22-double bond gave the desired 22-deoxy product 4. Treatment of 5 with benzyl bromide and sodium hydride afforded dibenzyl ether and then detritylation gave alcohol 6. Oxidation of the primary alcohol in 6 under Swern conditions⁵ gave aldehyde 7. Huang-Minlon reduction⁶ of the resulting aldehyde 7, followed by removal of the protecting group, gave the desired 24-deoxy product 8.^{2,7} Treatment of 1 with benzaldehyde dimethylacetal in the presence of CSA,

86 K. Sasaki et al.

Scheme 1: (a) TsCl, Py., 4-DMAP, rt; (b) LiEt₃BH, THF, 65°C, 93% (over 2 steps); (c) 1N HCl, MeOH:CH₂Cl₂ (2:1), rt, 90%; (d) H₂, 10%Pd/C, MeOH:CH₂Cl₂ (2:1), rt, 55%; (e) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 45°C, 65%; (f) conc HCl, MeOH:acetone (5:1), reflux, 72%; (g) Swern oxidation, 82%; (h) H₂NNH₂, H₂O, (HOCH₂CH₂)₂O, EtOH, 140°C, KOH, reflux, 71%; (i) H₂, 10%Pd/C, MeOH:CH₂Cl₂ (1:1), rt, 80%; (j) H₂, 20%Pd(OH)₂/C, rt, 98%; (k) NaClO₂, tBuOH, 2-methyl-2-butene, NaH₂PO₄, rt, 80%; (l) H₂, 10%Pd/C, rt, 98%; (k) NaClO₂, tBuOH, 2-methyl-2-butene, NaH₂PO₄, rt, 80%; (l) H₂, 10%Pd/C, rt, 92%; (m) PhCH(OMe)₂, CSA, DMF, 45°C, 83%; (n) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 50°C, 50%; (o) DIBAL, PhCH₃, 0°C, 69%; (p) TsCl, Py., 4-DMAP, rt, 47%; (q) DBU, PhCH₃, reflux, 74%; (r) H₂, 20%Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH:CH₂Cl₂ (1:1), rt, 93%

followed by benzylation of 22-hydroxyl gave 11. Regioselective reductive cleavage of the benzylidene acetal 11 with DIBAL⁸ gave secondary alcohol 12 in 69% yield and its regio isomer 6 in 6 % yield after silica gel chromatography. Tosylation of 12 followed by elimination of the tosyl group with DBU in toluene at reflux gave olefin 13. Selective reduction of the 2,3-double bond in 13, with concomitant removal of the protecting group, provided the desired 3-dooxy product 14. On the other hand, aldehyde 9 and carboxylic acid 10 were readily prepared from 7. Thus, the dibenzyl ether moieties of 7 were removed by catalytic hydrogenation to give 9. Oxidation of the aldehyde 7 with sodium chlorite⁹ at room temperature proceeded smoothly to provide carboxylic

acid, subsequent removal of the dibenzyl ether moieties afforded 10 in 74% yield from 7.

Scheme 2: (a) Ac_2O , Py., rt; (b) 1N HCl, $MeOH:CH_2Cl_2$ (2:1), rt; (c) NaH, CH_3I , DMF, $rt\sim50^{\circ}C$; (d) H_2 , $20\%Pd(OH)_2/C$, $MeOH:CH_2Cl_2$ (1:1), rt.

Regioselective acetylation and methylation of three hydroxyl groups on 1 effected by using the intermediates 2, 6 and 12 as shown in Scheme 2. Compounds 2, 6 and 12 were treated with acetic anhydride and the resulting acetates were deprotected to give 15, 17 and 19, respectively. Methylation of 2, 6 and 12 with methyl iodide and sodium hydride followed by deprotection gave 16, 10 18 and 20, respectively.

Notably, intermediates 2, 6 and 12 would be useful for synthesis of various triterpene derivatives.

Table 1. Effect of soyasapogenol B (1) and its derivatives $(10\mu g/ml)$ on the cell growth and lesions in Hep G2 cells treated with aflatoxin B, $(10^5 M)^{11}$

$$\mathbb{R}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{R}^3}$$

Compound	\mathbb{R}^{1}	R ²	R ³	Protection (%)
1	ОН	CH₂OH	ОН	14
4	ОН	CH₂OH	Н	33
8	ОН	CH_3	ОН	19
9	ОН	CHO	ОН	32
10	ОН	COOH	ОН	44
14	H	CH₂OH	ОН	0
15	ОН	CH₂OH	OAc	11
16	ОН	CH₂OH	ОМе	9
17	ОН	CH₂OAc	ОН	45
18	ОН	СН ₂ ОМе	ОН	48
19	OAc	CH₂OH	ОН	()
20	ОМе	CH ₂ OH	ОН	2
\mathbf{GL}^{a}		•		15 ^b

^a glycyrrhizic acid. ^b at a dose of 20µg/ml.

88 K. Sasaki et al.

Hepatoprotective effects of soyasapogenol B derivatives were evaluated in aflatoxin B₁-induced Hep G2 cells and the screening results are summarized in Table 1. Soyasapogenol B (1) was more active when compared to glycyrrhizic acid (GL) which has been successfully used to treat chronic hepatitis. Among the deoxyderivatives, 22-deoxy 4 was more active than 1, while 3-deoxy 14 was not improved in the activity. Oxidation of 24-hydroxyl group resulted in enhancement of the activity (compounds 9 and 10). On the other hand, the 24-acetylated and 24-methylated derivatives 17 and 18 were found more active than 1, but derivativation the 3-hydroxyl group as in 19 and 20 lost the activity. Morphological changes in cultured Hep G2 cells treated with 4, 9, 10, 17 and 18 were apparently less than those in cells treated with 1. These results let us to presume that the hydroxyl group at the 3-position and oxygen atom at the 24-position are essential to the activity.

Acknowledgment: We thank Professor Isao Kitagawa of Kinki University for helpful comments.

References and Notes:

- 1. (a) Kitagawa, I.; Yoshikawa, M.; Yosioka, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1976, 24, 121. (b) Kitagawa, I.; Yoshikawa, M.; Wang, H. K.; Saito, M.; Tosirisuk, V.; Fujiwara, T.; Tomita, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982, 30, 2294.
- 2. Kitagawa, I.; Wang, H. K.; Taniyama, T.; Yoshikawa, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 153.
- (a) Krishnamurthy, S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3064. (b) Holder, R. W.; Matturro, M. G. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2166.
- 4. A triterpene which was recently isolated from the stem bark of *Phyllanthus flexuosus*: Tanaka, R.; Tabuse, M.; Matsunaga, S. *Phytochemistry* **1988**, 27, 3563.
- 5. Muncuso, A. J.; Huang, S. L.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480.
- 6. Huang-Minlon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 2487.
- 7. A triterpene which is known as sophoradiol: Chiang, T. C.; Chang, H. M. Planta Medica 1982, 46, 52.
- 8. Regioselectivity of this reaction was interestingly opposite to that of the usual reduction of benzylidene acetal with DIBAL: (a) Takano, S.; Akiyama, M.; Sato, S.; Ogasawara, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1983**, 1593. (b) Johansson, R.; Samuelsson, B. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I.* **1984**, 2371.
- (a) Lindgren, B. O.; Nilsson, T. Acta Chem. Scand. 1973, 27, 888. (b) Kraus, G. A.; Taschner, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1175.
- 10. Yoshikawa, M.; Wang, H. K.; Kayakiri, H.; Taniyama, T.; Kitagawa, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 4267.
- 11. The test compound (10μg/ml) was added to fresh culture medium in the presence of 10⁻⁵ M aflatoxin B₁, and the Hep G2 cells were incubated for 2 days. The morphological examination of cultured cells were carried out by use of phase-contrast microscope, and viable cell numbers were stained with 0.1% of crystal violet and determined with monocellator (Olympus Co. Ltd.).

The percent of protection was expressed according to the formula:

Percent of protection =
$$\frac{B-A}{100-A} \times 100$$

A: lesions value due to aflatoxin B,

B: lesions value due to aflatoxin B₁ and test compound